Pega Cloud vs. On-Premise: A Comparative Study of Deployment Strategies and Performance Metrics

Gavin Luscombe IAM Architect, GSK, England, United Kingdom Corresponding Author Email ID: Email

Received: 03/09/2021

Accepted: 07/10/2021

Published: 31/11/2021

DOI: 10.31069/japsr.v4i4.03

Abstract

The proliferation of hybrid IT environments has propelled enterprises to reassess their deployment strategies for mission-critical platforms like Pega. Offering both cloud and on-premise models, Pega enables organizations to balance performance, control, cost, and scalability. This literature review analyzes academic and industry sources to compare the two deployment approaches with respect to technical performance, infrastructure governance, integration flexibility, and cost efficiency. Emphasis is placed on how Pega Cloud's integration with AWS and Azure shaped adoption trajectories in sectors such as financial services, insurance, and healthcare. The review also highlights performance trade-offs, regulatory concerns, and emerging best practices in Pega platform deployment. It concludes with research gaps and recommendations for future comparative studies.

Keywords: Pega Cloud, Pega on-premise, hybrid IT, deployment strategy, AWS integration, Azure governance, scalability, cost-effectiveness, performance benchmarking, enterprise applications

Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, (2021);

Introduction

The Pega platform, known for its robust case management, decisioning, and automation capabilities, supports two primary deployment models: Pega Cloud and on-premise. As digital transformation intensified, enterprises increasingly faced the choice between maintaining internal infrastructure or leveraging managed cloud environments. Pega Cloud, hosted on providers like Amazon Web Services (AWS) and Microsoft Azure, promises agility and reduced maintenance. Conversely, on-premise deployments offer granular control, often preferred by heavily regulated industries. This literature review synthesizes comparative research on Pega's cloud and on-premise strategies, analyzing performance, scalability, cost, and governance to guide future deployment decisions.

Scope and Objectives

The scope of this paper is confined to enterprise-level deployment of the Pega platform between 2016 and 2021. Key objectives include:

- To evaluate comparative performance benchmarks (latency, uptime, scalability)
- To explore cost and total cost of ownership (TCO) between deployment models
- To assess governance, compliance, and integration flexibility
- To identify adoption patterns across industries and cloud providers
- To suggest future directions for research on deployment decision frameworks

Method for Selecting Literature

Sources were selected using a structured search across academic databases (IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, ScienceDirect), white papers from Pegasystems Inc., and industry reports from Gartner, Forrester, and Deloitte. Selection criteria included:

- Publications between 2016–2021
- Relevance to enterprise-scale Pega deployment
- Comparative studies or case-based performance evaluations
- Peer-reviewed or published by recognized technology research organizations

A total of 43 publications were initially identified. After applying quality and relevance filters, 18 sources were selected for in-depth review.

Thematic Categorization

The reviewed literature was organized into the following themes:

Performance and Scalability

Studies consistently show that Pega Cloud achieves better elasticity and automated failover, especially when integrated with AWS or Azure (McDermott, 2021). On-premise systems, however, offer predictable performance in latency-sensitive environments (Ramesh & Thomas, 2019).

Cost and Maintenance

While initial investment is lower in cloud deployments, long-term cost parity is observed over five years due to

© The Author(s). 2021 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

subscription and bandwidth fees (Gartner, 2020). On-premise models carry higher upfront infrastructure costs and IT staffing requirements.

Security and Governance

Heavily regulated sectors (e.g., healthcare, banking) prefer on-premise for auditability and data residency (Arora et al., 2018). However, cloud compliance frameworks have matured, with Pega Cloud achieving HIPAA and ISO 27001 certifications.

Integration and DevOps Flexibility

Pega Cloud accelerates CI/CD processes via Dev Studio and Deployment Manager with containerized microservices (Bhandari, 2020). On-premise allows deeper customization but often lacks modern deployment pipelines.

Industry-Specific Adoption

Financial institutions lean toward hybrid models, running core processes on-premise while using cloud for analytics and engagement (KPMG, 2021). Healthcare providers increasingly adopt cloud-native models for scalability and cost containment.

Critical Analysis

The comparative literature on Pega Cloud versus on-premise deployment reveals nuanced insights into the strategic and operational considerations that inform deployment decisions. A clear trend emerging across industry reports and case studies is the increasing preference for Pega Cloud—primarily attributed to its agility, ease of management, and reduced overhead for system maintenance. These characteristics are especially advantageous in rapidly evolving sectors such as telecommunications and digital banking, where time-tomarket and scalability are critical success factors.

Empirical data from vendor-sponsored benchmarking reports (e.g., Forrester, 2021) indicate that cloud-based deployments can shorten software update cycles by up to 35% and achieve system availability rates nearing 99.95%. These metrics underscore the operational benefits of managed services, particularly for organizations aiming to streamline DevOps pipelines and embrace continuous integration/continuous deployment (CI/CD) frameworks. Furthermore, Pega's alignment with cloud-native services from AWS and Azure allows for scalable, container-based architectures and integration with modern analytics ecosystems.

Despite these advantages, the literature also emphasizes that the on-premise model remains a strategically sound option in contexts where data sovereignty, compliance, and infrastructure investment are paramount. Organizations with legacy systems, regulatory obligations (e.g., HIPAA, GDPR), or significant investments in private data centers often find on-premise deployment more suitable. On-premise environments also allow for greater customization of security protocols and tighter control over runtime performance, especially in latency-sensitive use cases such as underwriting or fraud detection.

Several studies raise critical concerns regarding the long-term viability of cloud models, particularly surrounding vendor lock-in, opaque pricing structures, and limited visibility into backend operations (Joshi & Menon, 2020). Subscription models, while attractive at inception, may scale disproportionately with data growth or increased user activity, leading to unpredictable operational costs. Additionally, cloud service level agreements (SLAs), while theoretically robust, are not universally consistent across regions or client configurations. User-reported discrepancies in SLA adherence and performance degradation in underprovisioned regions have been documented, pointing to a gap between contractual uptime and lived service quality.

Hybrid deployments—combining both cloud and on-premise components—emerge as a middle ground but introduce governance and orchestration challenges. These include the need for unified monitoring dashboards, crossenvironment identity management, and synchronized data pipelines. The literature suggests that while hybrid strategies offer flexibility, they also necessitate mature IT operations and well-defined integration governance frameworks to avoid fragmentation.

In summary, deployment choices in Pega are highly context-dependent and should align with an organization's digital maturity, regulatory exposure, infrastructure strategy, and performance requirements. The optimal model may not be a binary choice but a dynamic configuration that evolves with business priorities and technological capabilities.

Research Gaps

Lack of Peer-Reviewed Empirical Comparisons

Most performance comparisons are vendor-published, with limited peer-reviewed benchmarking studies.

Longitudinal Cost Analysis

Few studies track TCO across 5–10-year spans.

Security Benchmarking Across Industries

Sector-specific studies on data residency, encryption protocols, and breach response times are underdeveloped.



Fig 1: Deployment strategy comparison: Pega cloud vs. On-Premie vs. Hybrid



Fig 2: Reearch Gaps in pega Deployment Literature

Hybrid Deployment Models

Limited research on managing dual environments across Pega Cloud and on-premise with unified orchestration.

Conclusion and Future Directions

This literature review underscores the strategic trade-offs between Pega Cloud and on-premise deployments. Cloud models offer agility and reduced maintenance, while on-premise remains suitable for organizations prioritizing control and compliance. Future research should incorporate empirical performance data, security incident analysis, and case studies on hybrid orchestration. As cloud-native design becomes the enterprise standard, understanding these dynamics will be essential for effective Pega deployment planning and governance.

References

- 1. Arora, R., Malik, A., & Kumar, R. (2018). A comprehensive review of cloud computing security issues and challenges. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 9(1), 88–95.
- 2. Deloitte Insights. (2020). *Modernizing legacy systems with low-code platforms*.
- 3. Forrester Research. (2021). The Total Economic Impact™ of Pega Infinity Cloud.
- 4. Gartner. (2020). Comparative Cost Models for Cloud and

On-Premise Deployments.

- Joshi, S., & Menon, V. (2020). Evaluating vendor lock-in risks in cloud-based enterprise platforms. *Information Systems Management*, 37(4), 290–303.
- 6. Ramesh, S., & Thomas, J. (2019). Evaluating latencysensitive enterprise systems in on-premise environments. *Enterprise Architecture Journal*, 14(1), 42–51.
- Goli, V.R. (2015). The evolution of mobile app development: Embracing cross-platform frameworks. International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology, 6(11), 99–111. https://doi.org/10.34218/ IJARET_06_11_010
- 8. Sharma, D., & Gupta, P. (2020). Comparing DevOps in on-premise vs. cloud-native platforms. *Journal of Systems and Software Engineering*, 52(6), 299–312.
- Bellamkonda, S. (2021). Threat Hunting and Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs): A Comprehensive Analysis. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 9(1), 53-61.
- Springer, K. (2019). Automation, orchestration, and cloudnative deployments. *Software Development Review*, 22(3), 53–68.
- 11. TechRepublic. (2020). When to Choose On-Premise vs. Cloud: A CIO's Guide.
- Vaswani, A., & Banerjee, S. (2020). Container orchestration in Pega Cloud environments. *IEEE Cloud Computing*, 7(5), 89–95.
- Verma, N., & Sen, A. (2021). Security compliance comparison across major cloud providers. *Cloud Security Journal*, 5(4), 112–123.
- 14. Talluri Durvasulu, M. B. (2014). Understanding VMAX and PowerMax: A storage expert's guide. International Journal of Information Technology and Management Information Systems, 5(1), 72–81. https:// doi.org/10.34218/50320140501007
- Wadhwa, M. (2018). Achieving scalability and performance in low-code enterprise systems. International Journal of Information Systems and Management, 18(1), 33–47.
- 16. Munnangi, S. (2018). Seamless automation: Integrating BPM and RPA with Pega. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 9(3), 1441–1459. https://doi. org/10.61841/turcomat.v9i3.14971

How to cite this article: Luscombe, G. Pega Cloud vs. On-Premise: A Comparative Study of Deployment Strategies and Performance Metrics. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2021; 4(4):28-3 Doi: 10.31069/japsr.v4i4.03